Diversity and Status of Butterflies in Maitum Village, Tandag, Surigao del Sur, Philippines

RAY KRISTOFFER CASIO RAMIREZ

crinoidz@yahoo.com/ray.ramirez.biologist@gmail.com

ALMA B. MOHAGAN

almohagan@gmail.com Central Mindanao University Philippines

Date Submitted: June 29, 2011 Final Revision Accepted: July 10, 2011

Abstract - Diversity and assessment of butterflies of Maitum, Tandag, Surigaodel Sur were conducted to provide information on the species composition, diversity and status of butterflies in dipterocarp forest and agro ecosystems. A total of 104 species of butterflies belonging to 68 genera and 5 families were documented. Species richness of the butterflies was higher in the dipterocarp forest (89) than the agro ecosystem (51). The dipterocarp forest had greater mean individual (4.173) than the agro ecosystem (3.712). The diversity level was fair in dipterocarp forest (H'= 1.638) as compared to the agroecosystem area with low level of diversity (H'= 1.369). Dendrogram for similarity of species composition showed a fairly low species between the two study stations, this suggests the importance of the two habitats for the conservation of butterflies in Maitum Village, Tandag, Surigao del Sur. Assessment of status showed that 57 species (54.8 %), were common 16 (15. 3%) were rare, 12 (11.5%) were rare Philippine endemics, 6 (5.7%) were common Philippine endemics, 2 (1.9%) common Mindanao endemics, 1 (.96%) rare Mindanao endemic, 1 (.96%) very rare eastern Mindanao endemic, and 9 (8.6%) were undetermined. These results suggest that Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur is the home of 104 species of butterflies and the dipterocarp forest is the home of diverse and endemic species of butterflies for conservation.

Keywords - Diversity, Status, Conservation, Butterflies, Maitum Village.

INTRODUCTION

Tandag City is in the north-eastern coast of Mindanao. The city is the provincial capital of Surigao del Sur and one of the newly proclaimed cities in the country (United Tourist Promotions, 2008). It lies on the eastern part of Surigao del Sur which is part of Region XIII or Caraga Region. It has a total land area of 29, 173 hectares. Of these 5, 911 has. are alienable and disposable and 25, 709 are forestlands. Tandag falls under the Type II climate in the country, which is characterized by rainfall distributed throughout the year, with a negligible short dry season (MPDO Tandag Executive Summary 2004).

A study on mammal diversity by Wargues et al. (2007), taken from the WCSP 18th Annual Biodiversity Symposium "Biodiversity in the Philippine Uplands" Symposium Manual, reported a total of 28 species in Tandag, Surigao del Sur. No study on the diversity of butterflies has been reported on the area yet. This study focused on the diversity of butterflies in Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of Study Site

This study was conducted in selected locations in Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur (Figs. 1 & 2). The site is partially inhabited and is composed of two vegetation types: Agroecosystem and Dipterocarp Forests. The topography is generally plain, rolling and gently sloping. From Tandag City proper, the study site can be reached by motorcycles in approximately 30 minutes. The study was done from April to December 2010. Maitum Village has atotal land area of 1,327.37 hectares. Tandag falls under the Type II climate in the country, which is characterized by rainfall distributed throughout the year, with a negligible short dry season (MPDO Tandag Executive Summary 2004).

Establishment of Study Stations

Two study stations were established. The following were:

Station 1: Agroecosystem area (Fig.3)

Station 2: Dipterocarp forest area (Fig.4)

Sampling of butterflies was done in the above established stations of the study site.

Sampling Techniques

The following sampling techniques were employed:

1. Transect walk sampling

This was done by walking three hours from 7:00AM to 10:00AM through the 2 km transects in each study station (Figs. 5 & 6), adapted from Mohagan, and Treadaway 2010. All butterflies seen along the 2 km transect were collected, counted and listed. Butterflies seen 5 m ahead were included in the collection (Pollard and Yates 1995).

A total of 6 weeks were devoted for sampling butterflies last summer 2010 at 3 weeks per station. Another 2 weeks was allotted for sampling in December 2010 at 1 week sampling time per station. Collections of voucher specimens were limited to 3 to 5 individuals only per species. Sample duplicates were released after each transect walk.

2. Opportunistic Sampling

To enhance species richness, Opportunistic Sampling was employed. This method was used to document butterflies outside the transect. Areas around the transect were scanned for butterfly species to enrich listings (Chiong 2007). A total of 6 weeks were devoted for sampling butterflies last summer at 3 weeks per station. Another 2 weeks was allotted for sampling in December at 1 week sampling time per station.

Collection of Specimens

Collection of butterflies was done using insect catching nets made of fine silk material to avoid wing damage. This was enhanced also by employing baits like fruit bait made of over ripe banana or any available fruit (Fig. 7). Brightly colored clothes were also used during sampling to attract butterflies to the researcher's location (Fig. 8). Butterflies collected were deposited in CMU Museum.

Classification and Identification

Classification of butterflies was done using references like books, journals photographs of previously identified specimens. Examples of these include: Butterflies of the World by Schroeder and Treadaway, Butterflies of the South East Asian Islands, The Zoological Society of London Journal, and Field Identification Guides by other authors.

Analysis of Data (Biodiversity Assessment)

Using BioPro software version 2.0, the following biodiversity indices was determined: Shannon-Weiner Diversity Index, Species Richness, Species Abundance and Bray-Curtis Similarity Index of Species Composition.

Assessment of Status

The national status and distribution of collected butterflies was determined using the Checklist of Butterflies in the Philippine Islands (Treadaway 1995).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Status of butterflies of Maitum Village, Tandag, Surigao del Sur.

Species No.	Family/Species Name	Local	National	IUCN
А.	Family HESPERIIDAE			
1	Aeromachus musca	Very rare	Rare Philippine Endemic	Rare Endemic
2	Ancistroides nigrita fumatus	Very rare	Common	Common
3	Borbo cinnara	Very rare	Common	
4	Halpe luteisquama	Very rare	Common Philippine	
5	H. sp.1	Very rare	Undetermined	
6	Isma feralia ferestrata	Very rare	Rare Philippine	
7	Notocrypta paralysos volux	Rare	Common	Common
8	Odontoptilum angulatumhelisa	Very rare	Rare	Endemic
9	Oriens californica	Very rare	Rare Philippine Endemic	Common Endemic
10	Parnara bada borneana	Very rare	Rare	Litacinic
11	P. kawazoei	Common	Common	
12	Potanthus mingo mingo	Very rare	Rare	Rare
13	Pyroneura liburnia minda	Very rare	Rare Philippine Endemic	
14	Tagiadesgana elegans	Very rare	Common	Common
15	T. japetustitus	Rare	Common	Common
16	T. trebellius martinus	Very rare	Common	Philippine Endemic
17	Taractrocera luzonensis	Common	Common	
18	Telicota sp.1	Very rare	Undetermined	
В.	Family LYCAENIDAE			
19	Acytolepis puspa bazilana	Rare	Common	
20	Allotinus fallaxa phacus	Very rare	Common	
21	Caleta angola angola	Very rare	Rare	
22	Catochrysops strabo luzonensis	Very Common	Common	Philippine Endemic
23	Celarchus archagathos archagathos	Very rare	Rare Philippine Endemic	
24	Cheritra orpheus orphnine	Very rare	Common	
25	Euchrysops cnejus cnejus	Rare	Common	Common
26 27	Everes lacturnus lacturnus Hypolycaena shirozui	Very Common Very rare	Common Rare Philippine Endemic	

Ramirez and Mohagan

28	H. sipylus tharrytas	Rare	Common	
29	Jamides celeno lydanus	Very Common	Common	Rare
30	J. cleodus cleodus	Very rare	Common	
31	J. philatu sosias	Very rare	Rare	
32	Lampides boeticus	Very rare	Common	Common
33	Nacaduba bereniceleei	Rare	Rare	
34	N. sp. 1	Very rare	Undetermined	
35	N. sp. 2	Very rare	Undetermined	
36	N. sp. 3	Very rare	Undetermined	
37	Pithecops corvus corax	Very rare	Common	
38	Prosotas dubiosa subardates	Very rare	Rare, New record in Mindanao	
39 40	<i>P.</i> sp. 1	Very rare	Undetermined	
10	Zizina otis oriens	Very rare	Rare	
C.	Family NYMPHALIDAE			
41	Acrophtalmia albofasciata	Very rare	Rare Mindanao Endemic	
42	Amathusia phidippus pollicaris	Rare	Common	Common
43	A. sp.1	Very rare	Undetermined	
44	Anosia melanippus edmondii	Very rare	Common	Common
45	Athyma maenus semperi	Very rare	Rare	
46	Discophora philipina		Kare Philippine	
47	Dophla evelina proditrix	Rare	Common	
48	Elumnias heza heza	Very rare	Common Mindanao	
10		D	Endemic	
49	Euploe amulciber mindanensis	Kare	Common	
50	Euthalia alpheaa cusama	very rare	Kare	
51	Faunisphaon leucis	very rare	Common	C
52	Hypolimnas anomala anomala	Kare	Common	Common
55	H. bolinu philippensis	Very rare	Common	Common
54	Junoniu uimunu uimunu	Pare Common	Common	Common
56	J. unimes unimes	Vory Common	Common	Common
57	J. neuoniu iuu Lacimpae husa laotitia	Very common	Common	Common
58	Lusippue vusu metrim Lethe europa cevanna	Very rare	Common	
59	I exias nanonus miscus	Very rare	Common	
60	Melanitis atrav lucillus	Very Common	Common	
61	M. boisduvalia boisduvalia	Very conmon	Rare Philippine	
62	M. lada lada	Vory Common	Endemic	Common
63	Moduza thespias	Very rare	Rare Philippine	Common
64	Mucalesis felderi felderi	Rare	Endemic Rare Philippine	
65	M janardana micromede	Rare	Endemic	
	The parameter in the Officie	-	-	
66	M. micromede micromede	Rare	Rare	
67	M. mineus phlippina	Common	Common	Kare
68	M. sp.1	Kare	Undetermined	
69	M. tagala semirasa	Common	Kare	
70	Neptis cymela nifetus	Very rare	Common	
71	N. mindorana pseudosoma	Kare	Common	C
72	N. pampanga boholica	Very rare	Kare	Common
73	Orsotriaena medus medus	Kare	Common	C
/4	Pantoporia dama commixta	Very rare	Common	Common
75	Khinopalpa polynice validice	Very rare	Common	6
76	Symbrenthia lilaea semperi	Very rare	Common	Common

	Tourseis louistanias			
77	quamarina	Very rare	Common	
78	Ypthima sempera chaboras	Very Common	Common Philippine Endemic	Common Philippine Endemic
79	Y. sempera sempera	Rare	Common Philippine	
80	Y. sensilis	Very rare	Rare Philippine	
81	Y. stellerastellera	Very Common	Common Philippine	
82	Zeuxidia amethystus amethystina	Very rare	Rare	
D.	Family PAPILIONIDAE			
83	Arisbe decolor tigris	Very rare	Very rare, Eastern	
84	A. eurypylus gordion	Rare	Common	
85	Atrophaneura semperi aphthonia	Very rare	Rare	Rare Threatened, Philippine Endemic
86	Grapium agamemnon agamemnon	Very rare	Common	
87	Lamproptera meges decius	Very rare	Common	
88	Menelaides deiphobus rumanzovia	Very rare	Common	
89	M. helenus hystaspes	Very rare	Common Philippine Endemic	Common Philippine Endemic
90	M. polytes ledebouria	Very rare	Common	Common
91	Pachliopta kotzebuea philippus	Rare	Common	
92	P. mariae mariae	Very common	Common	
93	Troides rhadamantus	Very rare	Common Philippine Endemic	Common
Е.	Family PIERIDAE			
94	Appia snepheleelis	Rare	Rare	
95	Catopsilia pyranthe	Common	Common	Common
96	Čevora aspasia orantia	Verv rare	Common	
97	Delias hyparete luzonensis	Rare	Common	
98	D. hyparete mindanensis	Very rare	Common	
99	Eurema alitha alitha	Very common	Common Mindanao Endemic	Common Mindanao Endomio
100	E. blanda valli volans	Rare	Common	Common
101	E. hecabeta miathis	Very common	Common	-
102	E. sarilalas arilata	Verv rare	Rare Philippine	Rare Philippine
103	F sp 1	Very rare	Endemic	Endemic
104	Pareronia boebera trinobantes	Very rare	Common	



Fig. 1. Map of Tandag City, Surigao del Sur (from: Municipal Development and Planning Office).



Fig. 2. Map of Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur (Trinidad, 2004)



Fig. 3. Portion of agro-ecosystem, Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur.



Fig. 4. Portion of dipterocarp forest, Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur.



Fig. 6. Delineated 2 kilometer transect line in the agroecosystem of Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur.



Fig. 6. Delineated 2 kilometer transect line in the dipterocarp forest of Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur.



Fig. 7. Butterfly catching trap baited with overripe banana.



Fig. 8. Trap sampling using brightly colored clothes to attract butterflies to enhance species richness (adapted from Mohagan & Treadaway, 2010).



Fig. 9. Plot of abundance of butterflies of Maitum across two study stations.



Fig. 10. Shannon-Weiner plot of diversity for agroecosystem and dipterocarp forest sampling site



Fig. 11. Dendogram on the cluster analysis of butterfly distribution in Maitum Village, Tandag, Surigao del Sur across the two sampling stations.

Ramirez and Mohagan





1.9. Actoreaction reason



21. Kanstrouter Ugint forafis



The Assemble and Ass



In second dus mich.

3a. Serbe china n



3b. Sorto cintais



41. Maire hatelophania



4b. 730 for adelegizanta



1 a. Shipseys, 1



5b. Sapero. 1



ba Kongiroon Artarati



nh. 1992, Seulle Townsta



Ta, Moorstypia jean-theory with t



76. Nefsetysta parajarrentea



82. Celoctopethoe arguiethecholom



 6b cMontophian enguinamentical

Fig. 12. 1a-8b. Species of Family Hesperiidae

Asian Journal of Biodiversity







96. D.2.7 ca(6.7.00



190 contenatoria. Aeste eta



tidu na walisifikasi Amerikasi



Tal Protection



115 Stateling



111. Formafilians. Y mga







Lab Togendergenia Nogenz



155 3 5005 715 "



LSB Dyschierter Sein wird wird der



14a Regindergenia ekyany



na navadila. Alatikar



trale vites of the sectors of



Ramirez and Mohagan





Distances and







The Versiliand. Georges



199. Zirkitas pogralinaima



19h.Z.Whitescoken - Switze



204 Alternations Agreealies



Billistikernicheitar Spänner



The reduced as poly



Tik Goldine er er



22: Constant of molocomment



22k. . . w ne o ya mu'n actar was



13a Georgesetz endegesetz



23b. Ob. Webser



2-a. Orane orgâno Taglien e



246 - 23*0 der terstens* ug herre

Fig. 14. 17a-18b. Species of Family Hesperiidae; 19a-24b. Species of Family Lycaenidae.

Asian Journal of Biodiversity





tishi Meneryenyang Penghar



ala, Noviela Internati



inh, Kassali Tethnari



25а, Бульдуг онос 20.0206



tela de enformations defacem



27а. Бун бантыг 2000 ж



251, Fingular MANER



186, El sevultor atmatica



White the etc.



The descent of the second

A Contraction

HEADING MEDING

W. Markereker.

hainer .

14. 10.19. 14.1

NO:02

Sec. Sec. Content



313.020346 2663/23



Fig. 15. 25a-32b. Species of Family Lycaenidae.

Ramirez and Mohagan





infrasers in

Section Sec





Mar Kasharan Manya Kabu



Sec. Constone to 1



and the set of the set of the



spaces of edges and the second second



into a schinger



ana selompo oosta come



ante contrem por conta acoreza



385. Processional States = Annoration



385 Protectinghese controlled



30r. 2705:145.0.4



306 Donesses 2



onal taxina sus ortens



100.1 serve als A1293

Fig. 16. 33a-40b. Species of Family Lycaenidae.

Asian Journal of Biodiversity



Tasti georgia. Intellación



 (1) A support attractions are



42 в. Ангайизір різаруых редикти



42b.Anothusia photopectyc.dormic



434. Анайськаяр. і



43b.Astechnicsp.7



44a...Anosic mellenyset skitotein



44b.Anono mellenipus odnovski



194. Kirjune manazin Settipert



475 Dechicewone, produce



44b Alignos assenses szültező



470. Dophia sector gradura



the complete philiphia



46a. Zi)+miforè, beza





48b Elandiash here

Fig. 17. 41a-48b. Species of Family Nymphalidae.

Ramirez and Mohagan





ribal dag basa besisi in Kénéngkéngén







ante entre contra a chacuencie



ada sa kawa al_i waki Bisene



214, For georges. 1000



SHL Floresy-raid Vacis



32). Жирон медене споляте



Mile Procession antenna



t balafaran di yang di yang di yang di yang di yang di y



March and an and an

536. chronietas Ni devy bugy new







542 (00125.0.0.)

Bite Locksformation 196



5- Байлостана. Актерто



Sille Lawrence Ar Course 197

Fig. 18. 49a-56b. Species of Family Nymphalidae.

93

Asian Journal of Biodiversity











the table or get .4 20-2





44a Leasan warman NUSLUS



17 b Easagratuse

Stin Davidgenagen POLSON .



60a Melandersina W. Cass



60h Mandization Accesso



62h 44 1 58 4



<1 . Z. J. J. J. KARAGAS



63b Modumaterplan









Sta. Myssilers f dicier.



645. Mpraissizz. Siden

Fig. 19. 57a-64b. Species of Family Nymphalidae.

Ramirez and Mohagan



67 a.A., 79 a.M.2.42 AP 7720202



nde Maren Arve Maren de





est Maradocaude



Nia Milati wali palitpalita



elet (Altic) (He Contracto



4.h 1:

or the second

rationale and Assessa



681.75/22/27342.7

70a. Ekgile optiala wielow



700. Niphstynein admini



71 a Nava Jalan Kesta Shina



The Dielash Sec. Jacabasea



saa Kiyeanpenge Santilisi



нан тороодоодо тороска

Fig. 20. 65a-72b. Species of Family Nymphalidae.

Asian Journal of Biodiversity





Alexister Scattare. History



V D. Alexington president C. Alexington



AB Andip viederse Geografie



Alterative
polynomiadam

.0.0.



an diander



лар (узат навого сала. Америка



Tribilitysepiremikritekse autopekk



nel salannar Munimer Singkonn ett



the as waters



Сталициона Сталициона розвотано Сталициона розвотано



N. To stepart



Мил дебикалицият «Албала



. A. 1 31-31-4



. П. добластвота според.



S. Linearste

Fig. 21. 73a-80b. Species of FamilyNymphalidae.

Ramirez and Mohagan



studies and est



FI CLASSES



Rin Chinelis ne nigratir seksler vezi



The West-Description Association



101 - FRAMELINAN 200-D



int crossecould. Long



Set A see prize generate



organia General



811 лони начение понуто пределяти



Les and strange



SA advantanta Ang nanjaré ma



OF Insergrammings Area



(А.) Старлова. годогняются:



unitation and an



Augenerse.

odužbu kolo Vija po nakoznici

Fig. 22. 81a-82b. Species of Family Nymphalidae 83a-88b Species of Family Papilionidae

Asian Journal of Biodiversity



ton M Andres lywnysd



SML - K. BERNE. AJMENDAS



nna Mariyani Na kabusa



ann 14 guartas Sasactas



Sta Protizen 16. sieve stilger z



S LE, Sacrocote Re, sie wight lage z



Val. Sug. Setur.



William marchae



NATIONAL STREET



SSN Outeenville ja. Agronision



valo tara e. Distantantas



s shi e në genhe je . F VATSE



0) a la palest apostelation with



Maliceona represa Interna



1610 Appent Conden Ma



966.00054 abard Yealiya

Fig. 23. 89a-93b. Species of Family Papilionidae 94-a-96-b. Species of Family Pieridae

Ramirez and Mohagan







976 Evyastigante Sciencez



marts burns sealing



Were in another mer



Syn A. S. Million



White a sections



1007, as Manda Velle deser



1006 2. courses



1916 - Chanter Anti-Ant



1031. 55/0Kast 1.



100 han bernas. Ze seziti N

Hills i ward the



1024. E.s. seriese.





1042 instruction Number instruction



10-6 Attendia A longe die beside

Fig. 24. 97a-104b. Species of Family Pieridae

Species Composition

A total of 104 species of butterflies were collected in Maitum Village, Tandag, Suriagao del Sur. These species belong to 68 genera and 5 families of butterflies. Of these, eighteen (18) were from the family Hesperiidae, twenty two (22) were from the family Lycaenidae, forty (42) were from the family Nymphalidae, eleven (11) were from the family Papilionidae and eleven (11) were from the family Pieridae (Table 1). The result was lower as compared to the study of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) in Mt. Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental with 142 species.

This may be due to the differences of the sampling techniques employed. The study of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) employed an additional of two more methods of sampling, the Quadrat and Belt transect sampling method which were not employed in this study. There were species of butterflies common to both studies. This implies that butterflies have a certain degree of habitat preference. Butterflies select their habitat in relation to their food and host plants (Borkar & Komarpant 2004). Aside from, sampling techniques employed, the study site of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) in Mt. Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental had a larger study site with greater number of habitats sampled and sampling duration was longer. Observation of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) was also true to Cayabyab (2000) in Mt. Makiling, Laguna with 145 species. The study of Cayabyab (2000) used a modified version of Pollard's (1977) transect method that is similar to the method used in the current study.

The current study used 2 kilometer transects; although Cayabyab (2000) used 1 kilometer transects only, Cayabyab (2000) had a greater number of transects (7), than the current study (2). Another major difference between the current study, Cayabyab (2000) did not employ butterfly catching traps. The current study had a smaller sampling area than that of Cayabyab (2000). With reference to duration of study, Cayabyab (2000) had an exceedingly longer sampling duration. The greater sampling duration and the larger area in Mt. Makiling, Laguna could be a reason why the current study has a lesser number of species compared to Cayabyab (2000).

Although rapid surveys and extrapolation methods help provide a general framework for assessing biodiversity, understanding the dynamics of species diversity in communities requires long term data sets that partition diversity into its natural components of space and time (De Vries & Walla 2001). It appears that methods, size of study site, and length of sampling period has a direct implication on the results of a study.

Compared to the results of Salmoy (2010) in Camiguin Island, the present study had a greater number of species than the former (81 species). In terms of methods, Salmoy (2010) had more sampling methods than the current study. In fact, methods used by Salmoy (2010) are identical to those of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010). With reference to sampling duration, the current study had a shorter duration, 2 months compared to the study of Salmoy (2010) with 6 months. With reference to study site, Salmoy (2010) in Camiguin Island had a larger area for sampling than the current study, although the sampling area of Salmoy (2010) is still considered a volcanic area. According to Weibull and Ostman (2003) landscape features largely affect species composition especially for the most mobile group of butterflies. A possible reason for the higher species composition of the current study would be the higher disturbance due to the volcanic activity in the area of Salmoy (2010) in Camiguin Island.

The current study had a greater number of species than Lit (2001) in Mount Banahao de Lucban, Quezon Province with 77 species. In terms of methods, the study of Lit (2001) and the current study were quite different due to the fact that the current study used more sampling methods. In terms of study site, the current study had smaller study site than Lit (2001). In terms of sampling duration, the study of Lit (2001) had a longer duration.

Aside from the difference in methods and duration of the study, butterfly composition differs from different study sites, which shows that geographical location influence butterfly composition. This observation is supported by Heaney (1991) and Mohagan and Treadaway (2010). As perobservation, vegetation types also affect species composition. The dipterocarp forest had higher species composition at 50% disconcondantwihagroecosystem. This observation is consistent to Salmoy (2010) and Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) where food plants availability differ from one vegetation type to another, so that butterfly composition also differs with vegetation types.

Species Diversity

Species Abundance

Adequacy of sampling was not reached for both sampling stations. This is mostly due to the limited sampling period and limited resources, not to mention the security condition in the study site. New species may be listed additionally if sampling will be extended.

In the current study, butterfly abundance was higher in dipterocarp forest (434) than in the agroecosystem (386) due to greater presence and diversity of food plants in the dipterocarp forest. This result is consistent with Torreno et al. (2007) in Mt Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental with a higher abundance in dipterocarp forest (288) than agroecosystem (89).According to Schneider (2003), both habitat characteristics and landscape structure influenced species numbers and abundance of butterflies in grasslands.

In the study of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010)in Mt Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental, the agroecosystem 1 (25) and agroecosystem 2 (26) had higher abundance than the dipterocarp1 (15) and dipterocarp 2 (11). In Salmoy (2010), the argroecosystems of Mt. Timpoong (495) and Mt. Hibok-hibok (265) had higher abundance than the dipterocarp forests of both mountains (235). According to Mohagan and Treadaway (2010), abundance decreases with elevation.

It is interesting to note that in the studies of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) and Salmoy (2010), there was a significant difference in the elevation of the agroecosystem and dipterocarp forest. In the current study, there was little difference in the elevation of the two study stations, therefore other factors like greater diversity of vegetation can be the reason for the greater abundance in the dipterocarp forest than agroecosytem and the type of habitat.

The agroecosystems studied by Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) and Salmoy (2010) were purely terrestrial but the agroecostem of this present study was a ricefield with coconut trees. There were fewer types of plants in the agroecosystem of the current study than in the studies of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) and Salmoy (2010). Melendez (2001) demonstrated that the existence of butterflies in one's place is influenced by reproductive season and presence of food plants.

Species Richness

The dipterocarp forest of Maitum had greater number of species (89) compared to the agroecosystem (51). The result of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) for species richness is parallel to this current study in which there was an increasing trend in the agro ecosystems, dipterocarp and montane forests. Butterfly fauna may be affected and endangered by many factors including land use, forest cultivation and plant protection (Özden 2003). The anthropogenic use of land can be a factor to the number of species observed in the study. In other words, agricultural lands have a lesser species richness than the forested areas.

The species richness result of the current study is contradictory to Salmoy (2010) in which the agroecosystems of Mt.Timpoong (50) and Mt. Hibok- hibok (30) had higher species richness than dipterocarp forests (22). According to Schneider (2003), the amount of adjacent forest, flower abundance, field size and estimated nutrient levels are factors influencing butterfly species richness. Due to the security situation in the site of the current study, the landscape and flora of the diperocarp forest were considerably kept intact. This may explain the greater species richness in the dipterocarp forest. Hansel et al. (2006) said that availability of host plants and food plants affects the presence of butterflies.

Shannon-Weiner Index for Diversity

In the current study, the average Shannon Weiner index of Maitum is low (H'=1.5); when viewed in terms of study stations, the dipterocarp forest had fair (H'= 1.638) than the agroecosytem with a low level (H'= 1.369). This result is consistent with Ballentes et al. (2006) in Mt. Malindang, in which diversity was higher in forest ecosystems than in agroecosystems. Vegetation structure and taxonomic composition are well known to have a major influence on community diversity (MacArthur, Recher & Cody, 1966; Southwood et al., 1979). Extraction of trees, even at low densities can result to considerable damage to residual stand and severe declines in biodiversity (Johns, 1992; Kellman & Tackaberry, 1999 cited from Lewis, 2001). The reduction of tree density can be a factor for the lesser butterfly diversity of the agroecosystem compared to the dipterocarp forest. The presence of bushes and trees had probably favored butterfly diversity by creating shelter and offering other resources (Schneider, 2003). It is interesting to note that the agroecosystem area had almost no naturally growing trees left; this can be the reason for the fair level of butterfly diversity of the dipterocarp forest.

The result of the current study is consistent with the results of Mohagan and Treadaway (2010) in which the agroecosystem had a slightly higher diversity value. The current study is contradictory to that of Salmoy (2010) in which the agroecosystem had high level of diversity. When compared to the study of Chiong (2007) in Gumata Falls, Magsal, Guinuyoran, Valencia City, the current study had a significantly lower diversity. This could be due to the sampling effort exerted by Chiong (2007) as it may be due to the varied plants available and disturbances in the area. In Salmoy (2010) the agroecosystem of Mt. Timpoong (1.70) and Mt. Hibok-hibok (1.48) has greater diversity than the dipterocarp of both mountains (1.34) in Camiguin Island. Her results are different from the current study.

Similarity Index

The cluster analysis of the species composition has shown that the agroecosytem and dipterocarp forest have low similarity of species composition with only 52.683%. This suggests that only 50% of the species are concordant to both the rest, and the remaining 50% are disconcordant. This result is parallel to the result of Salmoy (2010) in which there was clustering of the agroecosystem and dipterocarp forest in Mt. Timpoong, Camiguin de Mindanao. The similarity of the species composition of the agroecosystem and dipterocarp forest of the current study is due to the close proximity of the study stations in Maitum, Tandag, Surigaodel Sur. According to De Veries et al. (1997), apparent similarity among habitats is likely an area effect due to close proximity of the dipterocarp forest and recent human disturbance that created a patchwork of successional habitats in the agroecosystem.

Precipitation was present in both the summer and rainy season sampling periods, although the rainy season sampling period had more rainy days than the summer sampling period. Air temperature ranges from 28 - 40 °C, with no observable temperature differences in the two habitat types and during the two sampling periods. The lack of difference of precipitation and air temperature could be a reason for the 50% concordant species observed in the study.

The difference in vegetation and in the two study stations can be a reason for the nearly 50% disconcordant butterfly species in the current study. This result is parallel to the results of Ballentes et al. (2006), in which little species similarities were observed between the vegetation typesof Mt. Malindang.

Degree of disturbance in the two study stations can also be a reason for the nearly 50% disconcordant species of butterflies in Maitum Village, Tandag, Surigao del Sur, there might be forest specialist species present in BrangayMaitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur. The greater disturbance in the agroecosystem can be a factor for the disconcordant species. According to Koh (2007), butterfly species restricted to undisturbed forests often have narrower geographical ranges than species found in disturbed habitats. Forest butterflies are more likely to be impacted by forest modification than those that are known to occur in disturbed habitats (Koh 2007).

Status

Danielsen and Treadaway (2004) reviewed the status of 915 species and 910 subspecies of butterflies known in the Philippines, of these there were 133 globally threatened and conservation- dependent Philippine endemic taxa. The Philippines is biodiversity giant, but is sad to note that the Philippines is also a biodiversity hotspot.

Of the 104 species sampled in the current study, 57 were common (54.8 %), 16 were rare (15. 3%), and 22 (21.1%) were endemics; 12 were rare Philippine endemics (11.5%), 6 were common Philippine endemics (5.7%), 2 common Mindanao endemics (1.9%), 1 rare Mindanao endemic (.96%), 1 very rare eastern Mindanao endemic (.96%), and 9 (8.6%) were undetermined.

The endemism percentage of the current study is lower than that of Mohagan & Treadaway (2010) (31%) in Mt. Hamiguitan. The study of Hansel et al. (2006) in Mt. Malindang, Misamis Occidental (62.5%) had higher percentage of endemism than the current study. The study of Salmoy (2010) in Camiguin Island, had similar endemism (22%) to the current study. In the study of Ballentes et al. (2006) in Mt. Malindang, there were two endemic butterflies, which was lower than the current study. The rare Philippine endemics were: Aeromachus musca, Isma feralia ferestrata, Oriens californica, Pyroneura liburniaminda, Celarchusarchagatho sarchagathos, Hypolycaen ashirozui, Discophora philipina, Melanitis boisduvalia boisduvalia, Moduza thespias, Mycalesis felderi felderi, Ypthima sensilis, and Eurema sarilata sarilata. Common Philippine endemics were: Halpelutei squama, Ypthima sempera chaboras, Ypthima sempera sempera, Ypthima stellera stellera, Menelaides helenushystaspes, and Triodes rhadamantus.

The common Mindanao endemics were: *Elymnas bezabeza* and *Eurema alithaalitha*. The rare Mindanao endemic species was *Acrophtalmia albofasciata* and the very rare Eastern Mindanao endemic species was *Arisbe decolortigris*. There were 22 endemic species in Maitum Village, Tandag, Surigao del Sur, with 19 endemic species in the dipterocarp forest and 9 endemic species in the agroecosystem.

Noteworthy to mention among the species sampled in the current study is *Prosotas dubios asubardates*, a rare species which is also a new record in Mindanao. Other noteworthy samples are *Arisbedecolortigris*, a very rare Eastern Mindanao endemic butterfly and *Acropthalmia albofasciata*, a rare Mindanao endemic butterfly. Danielsen and Treadaway (2004) mentioned Sulu and Mindanao hold disproportionate numbers of threatened butterflies.

There is a great need for further biodiversity studies in Mindanao and Surigao del Sur since, numerous species may become extinct before they are even documented. During the conduct of the study, trunks of lumber floated on the river near the study site. According to Koh (2007), many Southeast Asian butterflies are endemic to the region and face the grim prospect of global extinction if current levels of deforestation were to continue. Butterflies are highly sensitive to habitat disturbance, and have been used as an indicator taxon for ecological research (Koh 2007). In addition to the small scale logging, sand and gravel is a common business in the current study area, this can be detrimental to the butterfly species present in the area.

Only a few species of butterflies had an IUCN conservation status, a few of these species are: *Mycalesis mineus philippina* (rare), *Atrophaneura semperi aphthonia* (rare, threatened, Philippine endemic), *Eurema alithaalitha* (common Mindanao endemic), *Eurema sarilata sarilata* (rare Philippine endemic). Data on the conservation status of insects are scarce because the IUCN Red List is predisposed towards larger and better-studied taxa (Rodrigues et al. 2006). It is apparent that the IUCN system is difficult to use with regard to butterfly studies. A more comprehensive list for butterfly status is needed, although this requires an extensive compilation of numerous studies.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur is the home of one hundred four (104) species belonging to 5 families and 68 genera. Across the sampling stations, the dipterocarp forest showed a higher species abundance. The Shannon-Weiner index of diversity showed low level: Based on Kruger scale (2005), the dipterocarp forest of Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur was at the fair level (1.638) of diversity than the agroecosystem area (1.369). The diversity level of Maitum is still low. Dendogram index of similarity between the agroecosystem area and dipterocarp infers that the species of the two stations are 52.683% similar. This suggests that the two habitats sampled are both important for the conservation of butterflies in Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur. The dipterocarp forest of Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur. The dipterocarp forest of Maitum, Tandag, Surigao del Sur is the home of 19 endemic species and the agroecosystem area is home of 9 endemic species for conservation.

The study recommends the use of other sampling techniques like belt transect and plot sampling and canopy nets to enhance species composition by sampling high flying or canopy butterflies. Further sampling should be done to augment species richness and in order to satisfy the species accumulation curve requirement and that other types of baits be used in the butterfly traps so as to improve species richness and status by sampling on the other areas of Tandag, Surigao del Sur.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to their families (Lorna, Bebiana, Lucio Ramirez; Susana, Roeland); (Dave, Dale Joy, Ard, John Dale and Dustin Kean Mohagan) for their financial and moral support. Grateful thanks are due to Dr. Lesley C. Lubos and Dr. Genaro V. Japos for their constant encouragements to disseminate our research results; Central Mindanao University President for the support; Dr. Victor B. Amoroso and Dr. Florfe M. Acma for their valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ballentes, M.G., A.B. Mohagan, M.C.P. Espallardo, M.O. Zarcilla, and V.P. Gapud
- 2006 Arthropod faunal diversity and relevant interrelationships of critical resources in Mt. Malindang. Participatory Knowledge Generation: The Case of Biodiversity Research Programme (BRP) for Development, Mt. Malindang, Philippines. Southeast Asian Regional Center for Graduate Study and Research in Agriculture (SEARCA), Biodiversity Research Programme for Development in Mindanao: Focus on Mt. Malindang and Environs. pp 80, 86, 167.
- Borkar, M.R. and N. Komarpant
- 2004 Diversity, abundance, and habitat associations of butterfly species in Bondla Wildlife Sanctuary of Goa, India. Zoos' Print Journal , 19:10. pp 1648-1653.

Cayabyab, B.F.

2000 A survey of Rhopalocera (Lepidoptera) of Mount Makiling, Laguna, Philippines. The Philippine Entomologist. 14:1. pp 105-106.

Chiong, L.S.

2007 Diversity of butterflies in the Vicinity of Gumata Falls, Magsal, Guinuyoran, Valencia City, Bukidnon. (Thesis) CMU College of Arts and Sciences. College. Musuan, Bukidnon. pp 10 – 39.

Danielsen, F. and C.G. Treadaway

2004 Priority conservation areas for butterflies (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera) in the Philippine Islands. Animal Conservation. The Zoological Society of London. United Kingdom 10 (1017): 79-92.

Ramirez and Mohagan

De veries, P.J. and T.R. Walla

- 2001 Species diversity and community structure in neotropical fruit feeding butterflies. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 42: (1 & 2) p. 1 Jan. 1991. [On line] Accessed on April 1, 2011.Available from httpwww.bio-nica. infoBibliotecaDeVries2001SpatialEffects.pdf.
- Hansel, C.G., A.G. Villarino, A.B. Mohagan, S.S. Nacua, T.O. Poblete, E.C. Roa, R.A. Seronay, F.M. Freire, B.A. Roscom, M.M. Gorospe, K.P. Culminas, W.C. Gay, D.G. Bacaltos
- 2006 Assessing the headwaters of Layawan River: Linkage between the terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem in Mt. Malindang, Misamis Occidental, Biodiversity Research Programme (BRP) for Development in Mindanao: Focus on Mt. Malindang and Environs. National Support Secretariat (NSS) SEAMEO SEARCA, College, Laguna 4031 Philipines.

Heaney, L.R.

1991 An analysis of patterns of distribution and species richness among Philippine fruit bats (Pteropodidae). Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 206: 145-167.

Kruger, M.A.

2005 The effects of insect diversity on three production fields: an apple orchard, a pepper field, and a garden. Sydney Australia. As cited by Mohagan A.B. 2007. Diversity and Distribution of Butterflies in Mt. Hamiguitan Wildlife Sanctuary, Davao Oriental, (Dissertation). CMU Graduate School. College. Musuan, Bukidnon.

Koh, L.P.

2007 Impacts of land use change on South- East Asian forest Butterflies: A review. Journal of Applied Ecology 44: 703-713.

Lewis, O.T.

2001 Effect of experimental selective logging on tropical butterflies. Conservation Biology. 15 (2): 389-400. [On line] Accessed on April 1, 2011. Available from httpusers.ox.ac. uk~zool0376Consbiolbelize.pdf.

Lit, I.L.

2001 A taxonomic list of butterflies (Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea and Hesperoidea) from Mount Banahao De Lucban, Quezon Province. The Philippine Entomologist. 15 (2): 151-152.

Macarthur, R.H., H. Recher, and M.L. Cody

1966 On the relation between habitat selection and species diversity. American Naturalist 100: 319-325.

Mendez, L.E.

2001 An inventory of butterflies in the vicinity of Lake Apo, Guinoyuran, Valencia Bukidnon. (Thesis).CMU College of Arts and Sciences.College.Musuan, Bukidnon. p 6.

Mohagan, A.B. and C.G. Treadaway

2010 Diversity and status of butterflies across vegetation types of Mt. Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental, Philippines. Asian Journal of Biodiversity. 1 (1): 1-24.

Municipal Planning AND Development Office (Tandag).

2004 Executive Summary. pp 1, 5, & 9.

Özden, Ö.

2003 Butterfly diversity and abundance in *Pinusbrutia* forests of Northern Cyprus. p 2. [On line] Accessed on April 1, 2011. Available from httpwww.undp-act.orgdataarticlesbdp_ butterfly.pdf

POLLARD, E. and T.J. YATES

- 1995 Monitoring butterflies for ecology and conservation. Chapman and Hall St. Edmunds burry Press. Burry St. Edmunds, Suffolk, Great Britain.pp 14.
- Rodrigues, A.S.L., J.D. Pilgrim., J.F. Lamoreux, Hoffman M. and T.M. Brooks.
- 2006 The value of the IUCN Red List for conservation. Trends in

Ecology and Evolution 21: 71-76 February 2006.

Salmoy, J.B.

2010 Diversity, status, and distribution of butterflies in Mit. Timpoong and Mt. Hibok-Hibok, Camiguin Island, Philippines (Graduate Thesis). CMU Graduate School. College. Musuan, Bukidnon pp 27-142.

Schneider, C.

2003 The influence of landscape structure on butterfly diversity and movement in grasslands- A comparison of two agricultural areas in Southern Sweden. (Dissertation).Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Alnarp. College pp 3- 40.

Southwood, T.R.E, V.K. Brown and P.M. Reader

1979 The relationship of plant and insect diversities in succession. Biological Journal of the Linnaean Society 12: 327-348 December 1979.

Torreno, H.S. Mohagan, A.B. Ramon, J.N. and H.C. Cahilog

2007 Survey and conservation of butterflies in Mt. Hamiguitan, Davao Oriental, p 4 Monograph.

Treadaway, C.G.

1995 Checklist of Butterfly in the Philippine Islands (Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera). Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo, Suppl. 14: 7-118; Frankfurt am Main Journal, Frankfurt, Germany.

Trinidad, E.T.

2004 Section Index Map: Maitum Village. Municipal Assessor's Office.

United Tourist Promotions.

2008 EZ Maps (tourist map) City of Tandag.

Warguez, D.A., K.M. Villamor, Tabaranza B.R. and M.J. Edrial

2009 Mammalian diversity in a logged over lowland forest of Mt. Hilong- Hilong Range, Tandag, Surigao del Sur, Philippines. WCSP 18th Annual Philippine Biodiversity Symposium "Biodiversity in the Philippine Uplands" Symposium Manual ,April 15- 17, 2009. University of the Philippines, Baguio City. pp 61.